

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Ajay Nand,  
# C-118, East Mohan Nagar,  
Chamrang Road, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o DCP,  
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4062 of 2021**

**Present: Sh.Ajay Nand as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Surinder Singh, ASI for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 28.05.2021, has sought information relating to FIRs registered from 01.01.2005 against the officers of the rank of SHO and inspectors of District Amritsar alongwith their names – a copy of the order passed on the inquiry report of the conducted inquiry after FIR was registered. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 12.06.2021 (since the information sought is not specific and does not cover as information under section 2(f) of the RTI Act) after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.07.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has now come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that they received the RTI application on 01.06.2021 and since the information sought is not specific, the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 12.06.2021 and 07.08.2021.

The appellant is not satisfied with the p[rovided information.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to provide information on points 1 to 4 if any data is available on record. Further, the PIO is directed to supply a copy of such order relating to point-5 if available on record.

If no information is available, give in writing on an affidavit that no data/information(point-wise) is available on record relating to this RTI application.

To come up for further hearing on **05.09.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 12.04.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath,  
H NO-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,  
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o IGP, Border Range,  
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o IGP, Border Range,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4401 of 2021**

**Present: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Rashminder Singh, ASI for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 02.08.2021, has sought information regarding the rule/notification giving the powers to the police department to conduct reinvestigation once one investigation on the request accused has been conducted and the accused has been declared PO by the trial court – a copy of the document which describes that Sh.Manoj Kumar is a relative of the accused Vikram Sharma and Neeraj Sharma as mentioned in para 4 of Border range officer – complaint submitted in border range office based on which memo dated 07.12.2019 initiated for transfer of investigation etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of IGP Border Range Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.08.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has now come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The Commission has received the reply of the PIO through email, which has been taken on record. It has been mentioned in the reply that this case relates to FIR No.83/18 dated 16.08.2018 Police Station Raja Sansi, wherein the appellant had filed a complaint on 06.09.2018 with IGP Border Range, the enquiry of which was conducted by SP(D) Amritsar based on that enquiry, and the complaint was found correct. After that, the opposite party filed a request on 19.04.2019 to IGP expressing dissatisfaction with the enquiry, which was marked to SSP Rural, who further marked it to SP(HQ) Amritsar Rural for enquiry. After conducting the enquiry, it was concluded that the case was wrongly filed and recommended for cancellation. After getting the opinion of the DA(legal), the SSP Amritsar Rural approved the cancellation of the FIR. After that, the cancellation report was filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala. The SDJM ordered reinvestigation, which is pending with SHO Police Station Raja Sansi.

The appellant is present and wants a copy of that particular rule/notification based on which police can reinvestigate a case. The reply of the PIO that the case has been ordered for reinvestigation as per the orders of the SDJM is incorrect since the appellant is asking the police department for any rule, if it exists, whereby the police can order a reinvestigation.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to send an appropriate reply and provide whatever documentation is available on record. If no such rule exists, give in writing on an affidavit that no such document is available on record relating to this RTI application.

**Appeal Case No. 4401 of 2021**

To come up for further hearing on **14.09.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 12.04.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath,  
H NO-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,  
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o SSP, Rural,  
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o SSP, Rural,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4402 of 2021**

**Present: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Rashminder Singh, ASI for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 01.08.2021, has sought information regarding a copy of the case diary on the reinvestigation report of Sh.Baljit Singh Sp(HQ) Amritsar relating to FIR No.83/18 – a copy of diary pertaining to a further enquiry conducted by Shalindra /Singh, SP (PB-1/OPS) as ordered vide dated 06.08.2020 – copy of notices issued to witnesses etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Rural Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.08.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has now come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The Commission has received the reply of the PIO through email, which has been taken on record. It has been mentioned in the reply that this case relates to FIR No.83/18 dated 16.08.2018 Police Station Raja Sansi wherein the appellant had filed a complaint on 06.09.2018 with IGP Border Range, the enquiry of which was conducted by SP(D) Amritsar based on that enquiry, the complaint was found correct. After that, the opposite party filed a request on 19.04.2019 to IGP expressing dissatisfaction on the enquiry, which was marked to SSP Rural, who further marked it to SP(HQ) Amritsar Rural for enquiry. After conducting enquiry, it was concluded that the case was wrongly filed and recommended for cancellation of the FIR which after getting the opinion of the DA(legal), the SSP Amritsar Rural granted approval for cancellation of the FIR. After that, the cancellation report was filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala. The SDJM ordered for reinvestigation, which is pending with SHO Police Station Raja Sansi.

The respondent present further informed that the appellant wants zimney reports of the case, which cannot be provided.

The appellant is present and informed that there had been an order of the court to supply zimnis in another case.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the appellant is directed to supply the same to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

To come up for further hearing on **14.09.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 12.04.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath,  
H NO-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,  
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o SSP, Rural,  
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o SSP, Rural,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4403 of 2021**

**Present: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Rashminder Singh, ASI for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 01.08.2021 has sought information regarding copy of relevant rule/act/order/instruction/notification of code of criminal procedure, which vests with the powers of the Director BOI, Pb & IGP Border Range, Amritsar to order re-investigation/re-enquiry in a registered FIR where investigation has been done – rule giving powers to police to conduct reinvestigation where accused are declared PO and challan has been called by the court – a copy of the rule to submit cancellation report to court etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Rural Amritsar. The appellant was not provided the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.08.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has now come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The Commission has received the reply of the PIO through email, which has been taken on record. It has been mentioned in the reply that this case relates to FIR No.83/18 dated 16.08.2018 Police Station Raja Sansi, wherein the appellant had filed a complaint on 06.09.2018 with IGP Border Range, the enquiry of which was conducted by SP(D) Amritsar on the basis of that enquiry, the complaint was found correct. Thereafter, the opposite party filed a request on 19.04.2019 to IGP expressing dissatisfaction on the enquiry which was marked to SSP Rural who further marked it to SP(HQ) Amritsar Rural for enquiry. After conducting enquiry, it was concluded that the case was wrongly filed and recommended for cancellation of the FIR which after getting opinion of the DA(legal), the SSP Amritsar Rural granted approval for cancellation of the FIR. Thereafter, cancellation report was filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala. The SDJM ordered for reinvestigation which is pending with SHO Police Station Raja Sansi.

The appellant is present and wants a copy of that particular rule/notification based on which police can reinvestigate a case. The reply of the PIO that the case has been ordered for reinvestigation as per the orders of the SDJM is incorrect since the appellant is asking the police department for any rule, if it exists, whereby the police can order a reinvestigation.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to send an appropriate reply and provide whatever documentation is available on record. If no such rule exists, give in writing on an affidavit that no such document is available on record relating to this RTI application.

To come up for further hearing on **14.09.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 12.04.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**